Expedited Procedure Under 37 C.F.R. §1.116

Appl. No. 10/811,477

Paper Dated April 28, 2006

In Reply to USPTO Correspondence of March 2, 2006

Attorney Docket No. 0644-031015

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application No.

10/811,477

**Applicants** 

Carl R. Nicolia et al.

Filed

March 26, 2004

Title

**FIXTURE CARRIER** 

Art Unit

Examiner

Ramon O. Ramirez

Docket No.

0644-031015

Confirmation No.

8871

3632

Customer No.

28289

## AMENDMENT AFTER FINAL

MAIL STOP AF Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the Final Office Action dated March 2, 2006, please consider the following:

The Applicants would like to thank the Examiner for indicating that claims 15 and 16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form. However, the Applicants believe that broader patent protection is available.

On page 2 of the Office Action the Examiner rejects claims 1, 2, 4-14, and 16-21 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being obvious from the teaching of the Labor Saver ™ Illustration

> I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to Mail Stop Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on April 28, 2006 Christine A. Canavan (Name of Person Mailing Paper

Page 1 of 3